Electromagnetic Theory
Prof. Ruiz, UNC Asheville, doctorphys on YouTube
Chapter H Notes. Vector Calculus

H1. The Divergence Theorem

We are going to derive two important theorems in vector calculus in this chapter. The first one is the Divergence Theorem. We consider a vector field **E** and proceed to do a closed surface integral of this field.

$$\oiint \vec{E}\cdot\overrightarrow{dA}$$

You recognize this as the left side of our first Maxwell equation. The vector field can be any vector field. To simplify, we will pick the field to be in the z direction.



This way, it is easier to understand the basic idea. We can easily generalize to the case where the vector field has all 3 components.

We will do the surface integral over this small finite cube. Then we will take limits to shrink the cube to an infinitesimal cube.

The result will be the divergence theorem. To remind ourselves that **E** is up, we use the z subscript for E:

$$E = E_z(x, y, z)\,.$$

$$\oiint \vec{E}\cdot\overrightarrow{dA} \Rightarrow E_z(x, y, z+\Delta z)\Delta x\Delta y - E_z(x, y, z)\Delta x\Delta y$$

Note the minus sign at the bottom surface because **E** points up and the **ΔA** points down there. Refer to the figure. On the four vertical side panels the **E** field skims the surfaces so that the dot product with each of those surface elements gives zero.

Michael J. Ruiz, Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License

So we have

$$\oiint \vec{E}\cdot\overrightarrow{dA} => \left[E_z(x,y,z+\Delta z)-E_z(x,y,z)\right]\Delta x\Delta y \, .$$

At this point, the right side is a surface integral. Now comes the trick. The partial derivative $\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial z}$ is almost staring us in the face. So set up the partial derivative $\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial z}$ and prepare to integrate it with respect to dz, which does not change anything.

$$\oiint \vec{E}\cdot\overrightarrow{dA} => \frac{\left[E_z(x,y,z+\Delta z)-E_z(x,y,z)\right]\Delta x\Delta y\Delta z}{\Delta z}$$

This promotes a surface integration to a volume integration when we take the limits to get differentials.

$$\oiint \vec{E}\cdot\overrightarrow{dA} = \iiint_V \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial z}dxdydz$$

For the general case with

$$\vec{E} = E_x(x,y,z)\hat{i} + E_y(x,y,z)\hat{j} + E_z(x,y,z)\hat{k} \, ,$$

we have

$$\oiint \vec{E}\cdot\overrightarrow{dA} = \iiint_V \left[\frac{\partial E_x}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial E_y}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial z}\right]dxdydz \, .$$

Now it is convenient to define the operator which we call the del operator:

$$\nabla \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{i}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\hat{j}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\hat{k} \quad \text{so that} \quad \nabla\cdot\vec{E} = \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial E_y}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial z} \, .$$

Then we have the nice notation $\oiint \vec{E}\cdot d\vec{A} = \iiint_V \nabla\cdot\vec{E}\,dxdydz$ and finally

$$\oiint \vec{E}\cdot\overrightarrow{dA} = \iiint_V \nabla\cdot\vec{E}dV \, , \quad \text{where } dV = dxdydz \, .$$

Michael J. Ruiz, Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License

H2. Stoke's Theorem

We consider a vector field **B** and proceed to do a closed line integral of this field.

$$\oint \vec{B} \cdot \vec{dl}$$

You recognize this as the left side of one of our Maxwell equations. The vector field can be any vector field. To simplify, we will pick the field to be in the x-y plane.



$$\oint \vec{B} \cdot \vec{dl} =>$$

$$B_x(x, y, z)\Delta x + B_y(x+\Delta x, y, z)\Delta y - B_x(x, y+\Delta y, z)\Delta x - B_y(x, y, z)\Delta y$$

$$= \left[B_y(x+\Delta x, y, z) - B_y(x, y, z) \right] \Delta y - \left[B_x(x, y+\Delta y, z) - B_x(x, y, z) \right] \Delta x$$

$$= \frac{\left[B_y(x+\Delta x, y, z) - B_y(x, y, z) \right]}{\Delta x} \Delta x \Delta y - \frac{\left[B_x(x, y+\Delta y, z) - B_x(x, y, z) \right]}{\Delta y} \Delta x \Delta y$$

This trick lets us promote the line integral to a surface integral. The derivative and integral for the extra variable does not change things.

Michael J. Ruiz, Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License

This leads from $\oint \vec{B} \cdot \vec{dl}$ =>

$$= \frac{\left[B_y(x+\Delta x, y, z) - B_y(x, y, z)\right]}{\Delta x}\Delta x \Delta y - \frac{\left[B_x(x, y+\Delta y, z) - B_x(x, y, z)\right]}{\Delta y}\Delta x \Delta y$$

to

$$\oint \vec{B} \cdot \vec{dl} = \iint_A \left[\frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial y}\right] dxdy$$

Do you recognize the cross product arrangement? Consider

$$\vec{A} \times \vec{B} = \hat{i}(A_y B_z - A_z B_y) + \hat{j}(A_z B_x - A_x B_z) + \hat{k}(A_x B_y - A_y B_x).$$

Now take the A vector as the del vector operator:

$$\nabla \times \vec{B} = \hat{i}(\frac{\partial B_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial z}) + \hat{j}(\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial x}) + \hat{k}(\frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial y}).$$

We have the z component of this in our above result for the B line integral:

$$\oint \vec{B} \cdot \vec{dl} = \iint_A \left[\frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial y}\right] dxdy = \iint_A (\nabla \times \vec{B})_z \, dxdy$$

$$\oint \vec{B} \cdot \vec{dl} = \iint_A (\nabla \times \vec{B})_z \, \hat{k} \cdot \hat{k} \, dA$$

$$\oint \vec{B} \cdot \vec{dl} = \iint_A (\nabla \times \vec{B}) \cdot \vec{dA}$$

This is Stoke's Theorem.

Michael J. Ruiz, Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License

H3. The del Operator

$$\nabla \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\hat{j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\hat{k}$$

1. The Gradient

When the del operator acts on a scalar function $\phi(x, y, z)$, we get a vector function called the gradient.

$$\nabla \phi = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\hat{i} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}\hat{j} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}\hat{k}$$

PH1 (Practice Problem). Calculate the gradient for each of the following.

$$f(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$$

$$g(x, y, z) = 2xy + yz^2$$

$$h(x, y, z) = 2x + 3y^2 + \sin z$$

2. The Divergence

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{A} = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\hat{j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\hat{k}) \cdot (A_x \hat{i} + A_y \hat{j} + A_z \hat{k})$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{A} = \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial z}$$

PH2 (Practice Problem). Calculate the divergence for each of the following.

$$\vec{A} = x\,\hat{i} + y\,\hat{j} + z\,\hat{k}$$

$$\vec{B} = x^2\,\hat{i} + y^2\,\hat{j} + z^2\,\hat{k}$$

$$\vec{C} = \cos x\,\hat{i} + \sin y\,\hat{j}$$

Michael J. Ruiz, Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License

3. The Curl

$$\nabla \times \vec{A} = \hat{i}(\frac{\partial A_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial z}) + \hat{j}(\frac{\partial A_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial x}) + \hat{k}(\frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y})$$

PH3 (Practice Problem). Show that

$$\nabla \times \vec{A} = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ A_x & A_y & A_z \end{vmatrix}$$

PH4 (Practice Problem). Calculate the curl for each of the following vector fields.

$$\vec{A} = x\,\hat{i} + y\,\hat{j} + z\,\hat{k}$$

$$\vec{B} = y\,\hat{i} - x\,\hat{j}$$

$$\vec{C} = x^2\,\hat{j}$$

4. The Laplacian

$$\nabla \cdot \nabla \phi = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\hat{j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\hat{k}) \cdot (\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\hat{i} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}\hat{j} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}\hat{k})$$

$$\nabla^2 \phi \equiv \nabla \cdot \nabla \phi = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2}$$

$$\nabla^2 \equiv \nabla \cdot \nabla = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\hat{j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\hat{k}) \cdot (\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\hat{j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\hat{k}) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}$$

PH5 (Practice Problem). Calculate the gradient and the Laplacian for the scalar field

$$\phi = xy^2z^3.$$

Michael J. Ruiz, Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License